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Abstract

Chagas disease (CD) persists as one of the neglected tropical diseases (NTDs) with a particularly large impact in the Americas. The World Health Organization (WHO) recently proposed goals for CD elimination as a public health problem to be reached by 2030 by means of achieving intradomiciliary transmission interruption (IDTI), blood transfusion and transplant transmission interruption, diagnostic and treatment scaling-up and prevention and control of congenital transmission. The NTD Modelling Consortium has developed mathematical models to study Trypanosoma cruzi transmission dynamics and the potential impact of control measures. Modelling insights have shown that IDTI is feasible in areas with sustained vector control programmes and no presence of native triatomine vector populations. However, IDTI in areas with native vectors it is not feasible in a sustainable manner. Combining vector control with trypanocidal treatment can reduce the timeframes necessary to reach operational thresholds for IDTI (<2% seroprevalence in children aged <5 years), but the most informative age groups for serological monitoring are yet to be identified. Measuring progress towards the 2030 goals will require availability of vector surveillance and seroprevalence data at a fine scale, and a more active surveillance system, as well as a better understanding of the risks of vector re-colonization and disease resurgence after vector control cessation. Also, achieving scaling-up in terms of access to treatment to the expected levels (75%) will require a substantial increase in screening asymptomatic populations, which is anticipated to become very costly as CD prevalence decreases. Further modelling work includes refining and extending mathematical models (including transmission dynamics and statistical frameworks) to predict transmission at a sub-national scale, and developing quantitative tools to inform IDTI certification, post-certification and re-certification protocols. Potential perverse incentives associated with operational thresholds are discussed. These modelling insights aim to inform discussions on the goals and treatment guidelines for CD.
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Background
With an estimated 8–10 million cases worldwide, Chagas disease (CD; also known as American trypanosomiasis) remains a major cause of heart disease morbidity, mortality and economic burden, particularly in endemic Latin American countries. CD is a parasitic disease caused by the protozoan Trypanosoma cruzi, and transmitted mainly by domiciliated triatomine (Reduviidae) vectors (kissing bugs) in tropical areas of the Americas. However, along with the urbanization process in recent decades, other transmission routes, such as blood transfusion, organ transplant and congenital have become important in both endemic and non-endemic countries. The disease is characterized by a long asymptomatic period (years to decades) before reaching the full set of clinical manifestations that include heart failure, arrhythmias, stroke, digestive complications and other conditions that increase the risk of death.

From the beginning of the Southern Cone Initiative in the 1990s, most endemic countries have made great progress towards the control of T. cruzi transmission by implementing mainly vector control (chiefly through indoor residual-insecticide spraying (IRS)) and blood transfusion control via donor screening. So far, 11 out of the 21 recognised endemic countries have been certified as having reached intradomiciliary transmission interruption (IDTI). However, the real impact of such interventions has not been rigorously documented and quantified, and various concerns have been raised around the relationship between reaching the various operational thresholds that have been proposed and truly achieving interruption/elimination of transmission and reduction in morbidity. Also, the increased health-care demands from the chronically-affected populations, and the limited offer of diagnosis and trypanocidal and supportive treatment pose additional challenges to CD control.

The World Health Organization (WHO) has set goals for the control of CD by 2030 in both endemic and non-endemic countries, including achieving the target of elimination as a public health problem (EPHP), the interruption of the various transmission routes and the scale-up of diagnosis and treatment strategies (a summary of these goals is presented in Table 1). In order to help evaluate progress towards and feasibility of these goals, mathematical modellers from different countries have joined forces, under the invitation of the Bill & Melinda Gates Foundation-funded NTD Modelling Consortium, to contribute to a joint analysis of mathematical modelling insights to support the CD WHO goals for 2030. In February 2018 a workshop on “How can modelling contribute to achieving the goals for Chagas disease in the Horizon 2020 and beyond?” was held at Imperial College London, with participants contributing ideas on how to quantitatively inform global progress on control and elimination of CD. In this document, we consolidate the main points from these discussions, involving ecological and epidemiological modellers and researchers from Imperial College London and Sussex University UK, Princeton University and University of Pennsylvania USA, University of Perpignan Via Domitia France, University of La Plata and CONICET Argentina, and Fundação Oswaldo Cruz – Fiocruz, Brazil.

Insights gained from quantitative and mathematical modelling analyses
Intra-domiciliary transmission interruption (IDTI)
A main planned target is achieving IDTI in endemic countries with 0% colonization of dwellings and 0% incidence of T. cruzi-infected persons (Table 1). The accumulated body of knowledge from the modelling work that has been undertaken by these groups over the last years tends to agree on various aspects, particularly the important progress made towards IDTI. Reductions of incidence and disease burden through the control or elimination of introduced/non-native Triatoma infestans (in some areas of the Southern Cone such as Brazil, Uruguay, and pockets of Paraguay, Chile, Peru, and Argentina) and of Rhodnius prolixus (in Central America and some pockets in Northern South America) have been achieved by a combination of IRS, locally practicable environmental management strategies and housing improvement, as initially suggested by pioneer mathematical modelling. However, enormous challenges and limitations persist in terms of sustainability, data availability to monitor progress or re-emergence (which includes vector re-introduction (or resurgence from residual foci) and re-emergence of transmission), and clarity in the specific strategies to be undertaken towards the achievement of the 2030 goals.

IDTI is potentially achievable in epidemiological settings with exclusively domiciliary (i.e., non-native) vectors and no insecticide resistance. Pioneer work also illustrated an application of triatomine-population modelling to optimize IRS for vector control, suggesting how to determine the optimal timing of spraying for the control of T. infestans in Argentina, depending on the season and the structure of the triatomine population. Using a similar approach with current techniques will be useful to help programmes to better target IRS strategies against domiciliated vectors. The critical (threshold) number of triatomines per house related to transmission risk, and how this can be used to prioritize vector control campaigns, has been investigated. A relationship between house infestation (proportion of houses...
infested) and the number of triatomines/house was fitted to data from various locations prior to vector control and applied to cases where there was only one triatomine species present in the dwellings, as well as to situations with mixtures of species and developmental stages, various types of houses and bug densities per house. These relationships can be improved when data are stratified (if available) with some critical co-variates, such as house-construction materials, number of humans and zoonotic hosts in the dwellings, and IRS status\(^9\). Understanding further these relationships taking into account other variables (such as the distribution of triatomines per house, the triatomine species, the time elapsed after intervention, etc.), will be crucial to determine operational thresholds that lead to cost optimisation\(^9\).

However, large areas of endemic countries with domiciliated vector species have sylvatic populations (for which traditional vector control measures are less effective). An example is *Rhodnius prolixus*, a vector that has been targeted for elimination in Central and South America. Unlike Central America, where *R. prolixus* is strictly domiciliated\(^1\), large areas of Colombia and Venezuela have *R. prolixus* as the most prominent sylvatic species. In these areas re-colonisation occurs readily between 1 to 67 months after IRS\(^1\). In the presence of sylvatic populations, there is a continuous introduction and colonisation of domiciliary and peri-domiciliary habitats; in these areas, traditional vector control is not feasible in a sustainable manner. Additionally, even if the domiciliated vector species are eliminated, their niche could be taken over by other sylvatic vector species.

Various studies on routine vector surveillance have demonstrated that the currently used methods have low sensitivity and greatly underestimate vector density, infestation and infection rates; vector surveillance may be capturing half of infestations – and, most likely, most bugs within a house\(^13\). These shortcomings may have greater impact in low-prevalence and post-intervention settings.

Measuring 0\% incidence requires analysis of seroprevalence studies, but diagnostic tests do not have perfect sensitivity and specificity. Investment in Research and Development (R&D) is essential to improve the performance of serology-based tests, particularly in near-elimination (low-prevalence) settings. Also, hierarchical models can be used to estimate test performance parameters (sensitivity and specificity) and then correct infection frequency\(^14\). The most informative age classes for sero-monitoring should be identified, and strategies developed for monitoring the long-term response to control. Modelling the historical force-of-infection (FOI); the per susceptible incidence

---

### Table 1. Summary of modelling insights and challenges for reaching the WHO 2030 goals for Chagas disease (CD).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Current WHO Goal (2020)</th>
<th>WHO new targets (2030)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1) Interruption of intradomiciliary (vectorial) transmission in the Americas</td>
<td>1) Elimination as a public health problem (EPHP; 20 endemic countries + 75% access to treatment);</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2) Interruption of transfusional transmission by blood/blood products in the Americas, Europe and Western Pacific</td>
<td>2) Interruption of intradomiciliary (vectorial) transmission (IDTI) with 0% colonization of dwellings and 0% incidence of <em>T. cruzi</em>-infected persons; 3) Elimination of blood transfusion-related transmission (BTT) in 100% of the target countries; 4) Elimination of tissue transplant-related transmission (TTT) (in 100% of the target countries); 5) Interruption of congenital transmission (CTI) of Chagas disease; 90% screening coverage and treatment to women, and when infected, screening of their new-borns and siblings.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Are the new targets technically feasible under the current intervention strategy? | Achieving IDTI in areas with coexistent transmission by native vectors is not feasible in a sustainable manner; BTT and TTT are potentially achievable but a clear protocol of strategies for achieving these goals is needed; 100% treatment of women of childbearing age is not feasible (a proportion of women have contraindications and abandonment of treatment has been estimated at 20%). 100% diagnosis in new-borns is not feasible (parasitological test sensitivity <30% and infrastructure for more sensitive tests (qPCR) is far from optimal). |

| If not, what is required to achieve the targets? | When current vector control strategy is combined with annual trypanocidal treatment in 10% of the infected human population, the seroprevalence (operational) criterion (<2% in under 5-year old children) could be achieved in 1/3 of the time (11 years in highly-endemic settings). In order to achieve high treatment coverage, various parameters interact (probability of screening, sensitivity of the test, proportion of people receiving and adhering to complete treatment course and drug efficacy). The lowest of all these is currently screening (access to diagnosis <1%). |

| Are current tools able to reliably measure the targets? | Force-of-Infection (FOI) models using age-stratified seroprevalence data are a promising tool to measure efficacy of IDTI. Tools are required to evaluate BTT, TTT and CTI. The “0% colonization” target can only be measured very imperfectly with current vector-surveillance tools. |

| What are the biggest unknowns? | Prevalence of infection and house infestation in many areas; role of native vector species in transmission; resistance status of vector populations to currently available insecticides; disentangling the impact of chemical vector control from that of housing improvement; efficacy of trypanocidal treatment under various rates of abandonment. |

| What are the biggest risks? | Slacking elimination efforts before reaching EPHP; spread of insecticide resistance; not being able to identify and treat congenital cases; treatment of children with false positive results. |
rate) using population representative seroprevalence studies, is a promising quantitative tool to measure trends in incidence and achievement of operational thresholds for transmission interruption as done in Peru and Colombia\(^{15,16}\) (e.g. <2% seroprevalence in under 5-year-olds) (Figure 1). However, even if IDTI were achieved, the likely presence of remaining vector populations, the protracted temporal scale of T. cruzi transmission (decades), and the long asymptomatic period of infection, can lead to many years passing before parasite re-emergence is noticed. FOI (catalytic) models are also a promising tool to estimate time to resurgence when a strategy has not been sufficiently effective, as applied in La Joya, Peru\(^5\) and the Bolivian Chaco\(^7\).

Modelling studies have indicated that potentially combining highly effective vector control with trypanocidal treatment of humans residing in endemic areas would substantially reduce the time required to achieve operational serological thresholds for IDTI as well as infection incidence and prevalence\(^6\) (Figure 2). Understanding the implications of this combination of interventions for achieving elimination of transmission and EPHP needs further work.

Understanding the role of sylvatic vectors

Native triatomines maintain extensive enzootic T. cruzi transmission cycles from the United States of America to Patagonia, including vast areas where the three main domestic vectors of human CD (Triatoma infestans, T. dimidiata, and Rhodnius prolixus) occur in the wild, with the potential for invading, infesting, and re-infesting dwellings. Challenges remain concerning the role and magnitude of rural and urban transmission, which are harder to quantify. In vast areas of endemic countries, intradomiciliary transmission is due to ‘sylvatic’ species that do not colonize domiciles but only make occasional visits (also called ‘intrusive’ species)\(^9\). This has led to researchers to suggest an alternative classification of triatomines that captures their complexity but is still operationally relevant for surveillance\(^9\).

Recent studies have modelled the relative roles of some candidate variables on house invasion by sylvatic triatomines\(^11\). Modelling studies suggest that a better comprehension of vectorial transmission in rural and urban settings would require understanding and quantifying of two different forms of vector dispersal, namely, dispersal between sylvatic and non-sylvatic habitats and diffusive dispersal within cities\(^22\).

A promising avenue is the application of orthogonal polynomials methods to predict triatomine dispersal based upon exclusively life-history data of each triatome species\(^23\). Tackling transmission by native vectors may necessitate alternative control strategies, which would require measuring dispersal and evaluating the efficacy of strategies such as those used for other vector-borne diseases, e.g. (impregnated) nets. Examples of the application of transmission dynamics and statistical models to evaluate both dispersal and the potential efficacy of such alternative strategies in comparison to typical IRS have been discussed\(^22\).

Figure 1. Force-of-Infection (FOI) models fitted to seroprevalence data. Upper panels represent the (modelled) historical FOI. Lower panels present the data (solid squares) and the modelled seroprevalence (orange shaded area) in: (A) a non-intervened area with a long-established endemic situation and (B) a successfully intervened area. This figure has been reproduced from 15 under a Creative Common Attribution 4.0 International Licence (CC BY 4.0).
Scaling up screening and treatment strategies

Another main target planned is achieving 75% access to trypanocidal treatment in T. cruzi-infected people with medical indications (Table 1). Trypanocidal treatment with benznidazole (BNZ) or nifurtimox (NFX) has been aimed at both reducing parasitaemia and curbing disease progression. So far, there is limited evidence on the efficacy of drugs for these.

Monotherapy with BNZ has been proven to reduce parasitaemia in up to 86.7% of treated patients. However, it is known that the trypanocidal effect of BNZ varies across regions. The evidence of trypanocidal efficacy for NFX is more scarce than for BNZ; unlike BZN, there is only one completed trial with 27 people treated and 24 placebo controls. According to a 2014 Cochrane systematic review, there is not robust evidence yet regarding efficacy on halting or delaying clinical progression. Before the BENEFIT (BENznidazole Evaluation For Interrupting Trypanosomiasis) trial (a randomized trial of BNZ for chronic Chagas’ cardiomyopathy), observational studies had indicated a possible impact on disease progression and mortality. However, the BENEFIT trial was not able to demonstrate such effect. Criticisms about the design and very optimistic assumptions about the true effect of trypanocidal treatment have been raised about this trial. BENEFIT’s authors designed this trial assuming a high (26%) reduction relative to placebo in the incidence of cardiac complications among individuals with moderate to advanced cardiac disease. The trial identified a small (7%), but apparently consistent reduction of such outcomes, which may still be relevant for patients and from a public health perspective. For that small, but still relevant effect size, its sample size may have been underestimated (low-quality evidence for imprecision and lack of consistency with other studies, following GRADE criteria). Using the current regimens of BNZ or NFX (60-day treatment course), only 70% of patients adhere to treatment on average, mostly due to adverse effects. However, alternative regimes with shorter duration or lower doses have been trialled (e.g. BENDITA trial) with promising results. This could lead to crucially improved adherence. Finally, an earlier diagnosis of cardiomyopathy and more comprehensive (supportive) treatment for heart failure may reduce mortality and hospitalizations by 20–30% (assuming, and yet to be tested, that such treatment has an effect similar to other causes of cardiovascular disease).

Modelling work has shown that an optimal combination of parameters such as: coverage of screening; performance of diagnostic tests; proportion of people treated; and efficacy of trypanocidal drugs is crucial to the scale-up of diagnosis and treatment programmes. While screening and access to treatment can be incremented as part of strengthening health systems, improving
diagnostics performance and drug efficacy will require concerted efforts. With the current tools, low access to screening is the bottleneck; achieving just 10% of successful treatment at population level will require an enormous investment on improving access to screening, especially when targeting asymptomatic populations in low prevalence settings, which currently prevail in most endemic areas (Figure 3).

**Practical implications of the new targets**

Achieving IDTI will require enhanced detection methods for domiciliated triatomines in low-infestation and low-prevalence settings. In order to monitor progress, improving the design of serological surveys for low-endemicity settings will be critical. FOI modelling suggests that increasing the age range for seroconversion, instead of limiting it to under 5s or under 15s (e.g. using all-age classes), would be useful to understand temporal changes in *T. cruzi* incidence and the impact of interventions. Also, recent modelling studies have tested strategies to integrate data and models to guide interventions in Arequipa, Peru, which can be used to improve cost-effectiveness. Models of triatome dispersal and colonization, with evidence-based parameterisation, are also needed to both understand their dynamics and design and test alternative control strategies.

Current estimates of access to diagnostics and treatment are at <1%. Reaching 75% by 2030 does not seem feasible using the current passive surveillance system. This goal would only be feasible if an optimized screening/treatment strategy is purposely designed for the targeted countries. Scaling-up current strategies needs a substantial commitment by such countries, and resource availability will be an issue. Also, the availability of current drugs is suboptimal, and there is a recurring need for supportive medical treatment of the CD sequelae.

In order to achieve 100% coverage of screening strategies implemented in blood banks and transplantation centres, a surveillance and administrative system needs to be put in place. Specifically, this requires more inter-sectorial collaboration, the participation of insurance companies, private/public institutions, the implementation of clear protocols, and a substantial commitment of health systems to ensure full documentation of the process. The WHO has a project in progress to develop an exhaustive database called the “World Information System for the Control of Chagas Disease” (WISCC), with an agreement with the Computer Centre of the Polytechnic University of Barcelona (Spain), that may be functional to these needs. Additionally, modelling could inform how widely screening should be done in non-endemic countries.

Achieving most of the goals currently stated for women and newborns seems challenging with the currently available tools (Table 1). Given the long asymptomatic period and the current

![Figure 3](image_url) - **Figure 3. Probability of achieving effective parasite clearance.** (A) 10% proportion of parasitological cure (PPC); and (B) 20% of PPC in a *Trypanosoma cruzi*-infected human population based on the combined probability of being diagnosed and treated (with trypanocidal medication) for Chagas disease. The horizontal axis represents the combined contribution of diagnosis as a product of the proportion of infected people who are tested (pT) and the proportion of those tested with a positive test result, that is, the sensitivity of the test (pP). The vertical axis represents the combined contribution of treatment, as the product of the proportion of those testing positives who are treated with currently available trypanocidal drugs (pD) and respond to treatment by clearing parasites according to efficacy (pE). Coloured lines represent the proportion (p) of infected people who would have to be reached by a test-and-treat programme (90% [blue], 50% [red], 33% [green], and 20% [orange]) to achieve the desired level of effective PPC. This figure has been reproduced from 17 under a Creative Common Attribution 4.0 International Licence (CC BY 4.0).
passive surveillance system for identifying cases of CD in endemic and non-endemic countries, it seems unfeasible that 90% of women of childbearing age will be screened. However, reaching almost 100% of pregnant women is potentially feasible with the recent strategy EMTCT plus (elimination of mother-to-child transmission of HIV, syphilis, Chagas, and perinatal hepatitis B), which adds mandatory surveillance tests during pregnancy for CD, planned to be in place in Colombia, Chile and Uruguay as pilot countries over the next few years. However, it is currently unrealistic to achieve 100% of treatment in newborns infected, as the sensitivity of micro-haematocrit methods (with repeated test) has been estimated at 34.2%. Repeated PCR-based tests can improve sensitivity up to 84.2%, but these tests are neither standardised nor widely available in endemic settings. Controlling congenital Chagas transmission would require urgent research for new diagnostics and drugs/drug regimes. Increased medical training and availability of tests and drugs would also need to be markedly improved. Higher sensitivity to detect congenital cases could also be achieved by including not only newborns, but also infants, for whom serological testing can be used from 8 months of age. Finally, careful planning and organization would also be essential for reaching and covering the more inaccessible rural (and indigenous) populations, which are likely to contribute disproportionately to the burden of CD.

Risks and perverse incentives
Certifying intradomiciliary transmission interruption (IDTI) when such transmission has not truly been eliminated is the biggest risk. Current diagnostic tools are unlikely to be able to determine when true elimination has been achieved. However, it is perceived by public health officials that not having some reward system may harm even further the willingness of the countries towards elimination efforts. As countries reach low incidence, they may feel that efforts can be slackened. Determining the risk of re-colonisation after vector control is stopped and not having the tools to identify resurgence in a timely manner will, therefore, be important challenges. Developing and validating tools to quantify these risks will, in turn, inform ongoing initiatives to refine the process of re-certification that would follow after a (so far unspecified) number of years of the initial certification.

In terms of scaling-up treatment, it is anticipated that rare adverse effects of currently available drugs will become more evident. Also, in low-endemicity settings, the absolute number of false positives will be substantial as the number of people tested increases, even if specificity is high (98%, as estimated in a recent meta-analysis). Similarly, treating false-positive cases can become a particularly important issue, as the absolute number of such cases will increase when diagnosis implementation and access increases.

Moving towards elimination of transmission
Currently, endemic and non-endemic countries have been working on their own according to their priorities and resources, but a transition to realistic elimination goals at a global scale will require the concourse of both governmental and non-governmental organizations.

IDTI is only feasible in a few areas of exclusively domiciliated, non-native vectors. Once IDTI is achieved in a region, and the control programme is stopped, surveillance will be needed to detect resurgence. Currently, this occurs only over a few years after initial certification, but there is little knowledge and guidance available for this post-certification surveillance.

Large areas in endemic countries are populated by sylvatic triatomine species for which traditional vector control is not effective in a sustainable manner. For those areas more experimental and modelling work is needed to better understand both transmission and control strategies.

Scaling-up diagnosis and treatment strategies will require not only a greater commitment of the health systems but also an important investment in terms of R&D for diagnostics and treatment strategies.

Modelling priorities to support goals in the 2030 horizon and beyond
Table 2 outlines the priority modelling questions for further research that were elaborated in discussion with the WHO.

Table 2. Summary of priority questions that can be answered with mathematical modelling.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Priority issue/question identified in discussions with WHO and PAHO</th>
<th>How can quantitative and mathematical modelling address this?</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Monitoring progress towards 2030 goals</td>
<td>Generate projections of both transmission and disease burden at sub-national level.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Assess potential for resurgence when vector control interventions are stopped</td>
<td>Anticipate the risk and intensity of resurgence in different epidemiological scenarios and with different vector species.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Assess alternative control strategies for sylvatic vectors transmitting in both urban and rural areas</td>
<td>Understand sylvatic transmission cycles in both rural and urban areas and test alternative control strategies.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Evaluate the impact of scaling up diagnosis and treatment strategies</td>
<td>Predict the epidemiological impact of different strategies. Conduct cost-effectiveness analyses.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Data (and software) availability
No data are associated with this article.
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The Collaborating Group on Chagas Disease Modelling (CGCDM) gives a valuable review of the accomplishments and open challenges of quantitative and mathematical modelling of Chagas disease (CD). CGCDM provides a refreshingly frank critique of some goals of the World Health Organization (WHO), describing vector control in areas with sylvatic triatomine vectors as "not feasible in a sustainable manner" and the stated treatment goals as "not feasible" at all. The review provides convincing quantitative evidence for the latter assessment. It does not cite quantitative estimates to show that vector control in the face of sylvatic recolonization is unsustainable. The review would benefit from a more detailed quantitative description of what vector control efforts would be feasible in regions with multiple sources of recolonization, including sylvatic and between villages (e.g. Gürtler et al. 2007).

The assessment of CGCDM is likely to be useful to scientists who want to address critical gaps in modelling Chagas disease, to officers of WHO and national governments who seek to set realistic goals and make informed policy about CD control, and to funders in search of fact-based counsel on the opportunities and risks of supporting modelling research and field action to control CD.

CGCDM strongly urges combining current vector control strategy with trypanocidal treatment of infected people. CGCDM identifies the enormous advances that will be required to achieve trypanocidal treatment of infected people. These advances include improved access to screening and diagnosis (currently <1% of individuals at risk have access in Colombia and perhaps elsewhere, according to previous sources and CGCDM), highly sensitive and highly specific tests to diagnose infection with *Trypanosoma cruzi*, more efficacious and less toxic drugs or other treatments than are currently available, and persuading people to complete the course of treatment. CGCDM also candidly identifies some of the risks of its own recommended strategy.
Based on experience in CD vector and disease control in the semiarid and humid Chaco of northern Argentina, currently the main hotspot of vector-borne transmission, we suggest three additional ingredients that may enhance the recipes advanced by CGCDM for interrupting vector-borne transmission of *T. cruzi* to people. We believe that these ingredients would prove valuable to CD control in regions of vector-borne transmission beyond the Argentine Chaco, but that remains to be proved. These ingredients are: (1) engaging the families and communities affected by CD in protecting themselves from CD, and modelling their engagement; (2) enriching the picture of CD dispersal using genetic and other modern techniques, and modelling that more complex picture; and (3) improving the rationality and statistical efficiency of vector control, and modelling the resulting impacts on parasite transmission and economic savings from improved vector detection and control. Our suggestions do not address other important problems CGCDM addressed, such as interrupting transmission of *T. cruzi* by blood transfusion and transplants, increasing access to expanded diagnostic and treatment programs, and preventing and controlling congenital transmission. We now sketch each proposed additional ingredient.

(1) Engaging the communities and families affected by CD in protecting themselves

CGCDM do not mention the roles of the individuals, families, and communities affected by CD in defending themselves. We think these roles could be influential, perhaps even crucial, in controlling and monitoring CD, and achieving the 2030 targets identified in Table 1. Specifically, people living in areas where vector-borne CD is endemic can influence wall and roof construction and maintenance, domestic animal management, on-demand residual insecticide spraying, and monitoring any resurgence of bugs after official interventions (e.g., Monroy et al. 2009). Community participation and training are key to achieving a highly demanding goal such as “0% colonization rate of dwellings” in the affected zones.

In the Gran Chaco region, a single village is typically composed of multiple house compounds. Each house compound consists of one (or more) domiciles (for human residents) and multiple outbuildings, such as chicken coops, goat corrals, kitchens, grain stores, and outhouses. Domiciles of different house compounds, though constructed with the same basic materials (mud bricks and poles), vary widely in the state of repair of the walls. Walls smoothly plastered provide no refuge for the triatomine vectors of CD and the human residents typically suffer lower incidence of *T. cruzi*. By contrast, houses with cracked walls shelter the bugs, have higher triatomine infestation levels (Cecere et al. 2002; Bustamante et al. 2009), and the human residents are more likely to be infected with *T. cruzi* across settings and vector species (e.g., Mott et al. 1978, Andrade et al. 1995, Bonfante-Cabarcas et al. 2011). Similarly, house compounds that have thatched roofs provide good refuges for bugs. Domiciles roofed with materials less hospitable to resting bugs usually have lower prevalence and incidence of *T. cruzi*. (Gürtler et al. 1998; Black et al. 2007). Domestic dogs have a very high prevalence of infection with *T. cruzi* and a very high probability of transmitting *T. cruzi* to uninfected bugs. When bugs thus infected are in human sleeping quarters, they have an increased likelihood of transmitting *T. cruzi* to humans. Keeping domestic dogs out of human sleeping quarters permanently could substantially reduce the incidence of human infection, according to modelling (Cohen and Gürtler 2001) and observations in Argentina (Gürtler et al. 2005, Cardinal et al. 2018). In Venezuela, the household presence of several domestic animal species (dogs, caprines, armadillos and chickens) is significantly and positively associated with human seroprevalence (Bonfante-Cabarcas et al. 2011).
Because of the long asymptomatic period of CD, residents in endemic areas often have a low awareness of the risks associated with *Triatoma infestans* infestation. Local residents could be educated about the risks of bug-mediated transmission of *T. cruzi* to their own health and the health of their children. They could also be taught how to apply residual insecticides (individually purchased or provided by control agencies) as need arises within their domiciles and outbuildings, and to monitor the resurgence of bugs after control measures (Cecere et al. 2019\(^{13}\), Gaspe et al. 2018\(^{14}\)). Thatch-roofed granaries are not usually monitored or sprayed, may often harbor large bug populations, and could be a potent source of bug reinfestation of other structures (Cohen et al. 2017\(^{15}\)).

Modelling challenges are to synthesize what is known about the magnitudes of these effects and to compare the costs and benefits of house-to-house and community-wide education about walls, roofs, domestic dogs, spraying, and monitoring with the costs and benefits of more traditional top-down interventions. The latter have proven difficult to sustain in the long run, especially when intrusive triatomine species tend to invade domestic premises and pose a recurrent risk of recolonisation, such as with *Triatoma dimidiata* in Central America (Peterson et al. 2019\(^{16}\)) and *Rhodnius prolixus* in Colombia and Venezuela (Sanchez-Martin et al. 2006\(^{17}\), ref. 12).

(2) Enriching the picture of CD vector dispersal

Based on modelling studies, CGCDM "suggest that a better comprehension of vectorial transmission in rural and urban settings would require understanding and quantifying of two different forms of vector dispersal, namely, dispersal between sylvatic and non-sylvatic habitats and diffusive dispersal within cities." We agree with the need to understand both forms of dispersal. We propose that additional levels of dispersal need empirical studies and modelling.

Within a house compound, bugs disperse among domiciliary and peri-domiciliary structures. Within a village, bugs disperse among house compounds. Within localities or clusters of villages, bugs disperse among villages possibly through flight and possibly through movements of people, non-human animals, and goods. At all three levels (house compound, village, cluster of villages), bugs may disperse between sylvatic and settled habitats, and all forms of bug dispersal may depend on the season (Dohna et al. 2007\(^{18}\), 2009\(^{19}\); Gourbière et al. 2008\(^{20}\)). Independently of the dispersal of bugs, people (and their dogs and cats) carry infections of *T. cruzi* among house compounds, villages, localities, urban and rural regions of a given country, and internationally. Four multi-level networks of dispersal interact: bugs, humans, domestic animals, and *T. cruzi* (in bugs, people, and domestic animals).

In future empirical work, genetic techniques to infer the origins and spread of infections (Biek et al. 2012\(^{21}\); Gourbière et al. 2012\(^{22}\); Gire et al. 2014\(^{23}\); Grad et al. 2014\(^{24}\)) need to be tailored and applied to the origins and transmission of *T. cruzi* infections and the dispersal of the vectors. For example, Nouvellet et al. (2013)\(^{25}\) used the incidence of *T. cruzi* infections in humans and the average number of potentially infective contacts per uninfected person to estimate that the probability of *T. cruzi* transmission per potentially infective contact is 1 in 900-4000 contacts with infected bugs. If genetic techniques were used to identify markers or "barcodes" in *T. cruzi*, the appearance of these markers in people newly infected with *T. cruzi* could be used to confirm or refine these estimates.

Modelling challenges are to model the structures and infer the parameter values of these
networks of interactions using available data (e.g., Dohna and Pineda-Krch 2010) and to design additional practical data collection goals for improved modelling and estimation.

(3) Improving the rationality and statistical efficiency of vector control by spraying insecticides

A decade ago, Dohna et al. (2009, p. 1) made a statement that was true then and unfortunately remains true today: "... it is important to increase the efficiency of vector control. It is currently unknown what spatial and temporal pattern of repeated insecticide application maximizes its efficiency." Some modeling studies (reviewed in Nouvellet et al. 2015) produced concrete recommendations for optimizing vector control but none of these recommendations has been tested in field trials. Large-scale field trials that test well-founded model-based recommendations for cost-effective vector control are crucial, especially for areas where resources are limited and sustained vector control is required.

Mass spraying of residual insecticides in a community is traditionally triggered when the community-wide fraction of bug-infested houses (the house infestation rate) detected by a standardized search procedure exceeds a fixed threshold, typically 5% (Schofield 1994; Guhl 2005, p. 395; Aiga et al. 2012 suggest a threshold of 8% for their region). The number of houses to be searched is rarely defined in advance, or all houses in a village are searched, which is costly and time-consuming.

A more efficient alternative could be to design a plan of sequential sampling that stops searching of houses and starts spraying when the probability that the infestation rate exceeds 5% reaches a chosen standard. For example, suppose the probability of house infestation is 5% or less. If houses are chosen randomly from a list of houses in the village, then the probability that the first three houses are all infested is at most \( (0.05)^3 = 0.000125 \), just over one chance in ten thousand. In this case, it would seem superfluous to search additional houses for bug infestation before deciding to spray the entire community. The specification in this example that "houses are chosen randomly from a list of houses in the village" is important, because if the inspectors choose geographically contiguous houses for their own convenience rather than randomly, there may be dependence in the infestation of successive neighboring houses. For example, three successive houses might be infested as a result of their proximity or shared familial indifference to plastering walls, regardless of the house infestation rate of the community. Sequential plans for detecting pest infestation rates above an economic threshold are commonly used in commercial agriculture. Similar procedures need to be developed and adapted for the efficient surveying of house infestations in the control of CD. Sampling design is of prime relevance, especially when control programs pursue certification of transmission interruption or vector elimination.

Modelling challenges include designing sequential sampling plans that take account of the consistent relationships between the mean and the variance of bug relative population sizes in different habitats within house compounds (Taylor's law; Cohen et al. 2017); modelling and measuring the spatial aggregation of house infestation; and estimating the economic savings resulting from more efficient vector detection and control.

The paper ably draws attention to some undesirable consequences of the certification of IDTI witnessed during the post-certification period in several countries. In general, CD vector control programs have lost substantial operational capacity. The (re)emergence and growing relevance of dengue and of other mosquito-borne viruses such as zika and chikungunya have drawn away
from CD the scarce resources available for vector control. This complex scenario in part explains why ten countries have yet to hit the goal of IDTI by 2020 (Tarleton et al. 2014). Competition for scarce resources for vector control remains a major obstacle to hitting the 2030 targets.

The following passages contain some inaccuracies or imprecise language and should be reviewed.

In the Abstract, "Modelling insights have shown that IDTI is feasible in areas with sustained vector control programmes and no presence of native triatomine vector populations." An interested reader not informed of the main issue under discussion may not understand the underlying logic of this sentence. If there is no presence of native triatomine populations, neither IDTI nor sustained triatomine control programmes would be pertinent, unless there are other (non-native) vectors that pose a risk of human infection with *T. cruzi*.

The Abstract begins: "Chagas disease (CD) persists as one of the neglected tropical diseases (NTDs) with a particularly large impact in the Americas" and the Background section on page 3 continues: "CD is a parasitic disease caused by the protozoan *Trypanosoma cruzi*, and transmitted mainly by domiciliated triatomine (Reduviidae) vectors (kissing bugs) in tropical areas of the Americas." In fact, the trypanosome that causes CD is transmitted mainly by domiciliated triatomine (Reduviidae) vectors (kissing bugs) in tropical, subtropical and temperate areas of the Americas. CD is not restricted to tropical areas.

The Background section, page 3, states: "...along with the urbanization process in recent decades, other transmission routes, such as blood transfusion, organ transplant and congenital have become important in both endemic and non-endemic countries." This generalization is partially inaccurate. In ref. 2, Table 2 shows no transfusion-related CD case in 21 Latin American endemic countries. This is quite plausible because screening of blood donors has achieved virtually universal coverage decades ago, with rare exceptions. A 2015 WHO publication, based on 2010 data provided by the countries, reported that "19 out of the 21 endemic countries achieved 100% screening of donated blood." The issue of transfusion-mediated transmission has been or still is pertinent to some non-endemic, developed countries.

The Background section, page 3, also states: "So far, 11 out of the 21 recognised endemic countries have been certified as having reached intradomiciliary transmission interruption (IDTI)." This statement inaccurately suggests that there is no vector-borne domestic transmission of *T. cruzi* in 11 countries. The certifications of IDTI for Central American countries are relevant for *R. prolixus*, not for *T. dimidiata*, a widespread vector involved in domestic transmission to humans (Peterson et al. 2019). Similarly, the certifications for the Southern Cone countries are relevant for *T. infestans*, but in Brazil there are other species involved in domestic transmission.

On page 4, a reference is needed to justify: "the number of triatomines/house was fitted to data from various locations prior to vector control and applied to cases where there was only one triatomine species present in the dwellings, as well as to situations with mixtures of species and developmental stages, various types of houses and bug densities per house."

Also on page 4: "... In the presence of sylvatic populations, there is a continuous introduction and colonisation of domiciliary and peri-domiciliary habitats; in these areas, traditional vector control is not feasible in a sustainable manner." A better distinction between feasibility, effectiveness and sustainability is relevant. Many decades ago, in some of these areas, such as in Venezuela,
traditional vector control was feasible and very effective by current standards when it was pursued consistently (Feliciangeli et al. 2003, Bonfante-Cabarcas et al. 2011, Bartsch et al. 2017). The decentralization of healthcare services, beginning in the late 1980-1990s in Latin America, combined with other regional processes, virtually eliminated or downsized the existing vector control programs except in a few countries.

Also on page 4: "Various studies on routine vector surveillance have demonstrated that the currently used methods have low sensitivity and greatly underestimate vector density, infestation and infection rates; vector surveillance may be capturing half of infestations – and, most likely, most bugs within a house (13,14)." ..."most bugs within a house" suggests that the methods may be capturing most of the bugs within the house, which disagrees with the former sentence and field observations. Perhaps the sentence intended to say that vector surveillance was detecting half of infestations, and standard vector collection methods captured mostly bugs within a house, i.e., domiciles.

On page 6: "Trypanocidal treatment with benznidazole (BNZ) or nifurtimox (NFX) has been aimed at both reducing parasitaemia and curbing disease progression. So far, there is limited evidence on the efficacy of drugs for these." There is substantial evidence on the efficacy of BNZ in suppressing or reducing parasitaemia as determined by RT-PCR (e.g., ref. 24, 28), whereas the available evidence on curbing disease progression is limited and debatable (e.g., ref. 29). The age of the patient under treatment is important: both drugs are able to achieve the serological and parasitological cure of recent chronic infections when applied to T. cruzi-seropositive children under age 15 years (ref. 28), whereas in chronic adult patients both drugs suppressed or reduced parasitaemia albeit transiently, as determined by PCR or xenodiagnosis (ref. 24, 28); the serological effects of treatment with either drug may take many years to appear.

On page 7: "With the current tools, low access to screening is the bottleneck; achieving just 10% of successful treatment at population level will require an enormous investment on improving access to screening, especially when targeting asymptomatic populations in low prevalence settings, which currently prevail in most endemic areas (18)." This issue is debatable and likely varies geographically. In Argentina, the key obstacle has been access to treatment in rural areas: either the drugs or the treating physicians are not available to indicate treatment and manage the adverse drug-related reactions over the 60-day follow-up (Sartor et al. 2017). Health services and several NGOs (e.g. MSF) have conducted large serosurveys of T. cruzi infection in rural areas using rapid tests or dried blood spots.

Also on page 7: "Current estimates of access to diagnostics and treatment are at <1% (33)." The pertinent reference is Ribeiro et al. (2009), who provided the <1% estimate across endemic countries. Ref. 33 reported that access to diagnostics and treatment in Colombia was 1.2%.

A few editorial errors should be corrected. In the caption of Figure 3, the identification of the coloured lines is wrong. The colour code adjacent to the upper right corner of panel B is more likely to be correct. In line 5 of paragraph 2 on page 6, BZN should be replaced by BNZ. In the captions of Fig. 2 and 3, ref. 17 should be replaced by ref. 18. Table 1 should list the 21 endemic countries, in line with recommendations issued by PAHO/WHO (2018) and other passages in the text.
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Authors show the current situation state of Chagas infection and how mathematical modelling can help in carrying out the WHO goals proposed for 2030. The infection by *Trypanosoma cruzi* (causal parasite) is mainly transmitted through haematophagous triatomine insects, as a consequence of migration waves has considerably expanded from rural endemic areas to urban centers. This idea is mentioned in the first paragraph of Background section. (“However along with the urbanization process...have become important in both endemic and non-endemic countries”). We suggest presenting current numbers in Belgium, Italy, United Kingdom, Switzerland, Spain, Australia, Japan, among others because this is an unknown infection. Moreover, most of the physicians do not know the illness ethiology. (Freilij *et al.*, 20071; Lugo Caballero *et al.*, 20172).

Authors are critical according to the knowledge about “11 out of 21 recognized endemic countries have been certificated as having reached intradomiciliary transmission interruption” (Second paragraph of Background section). We agree that multiple actors are involved in vectorial transmission in rural zones of America: sylvan triatomines, which mediate between sylvan and peridomestic cycles. Dogs are domestic animals living with humans, which spread the infection although they do not transmit the infection to humans and other animal species as food sources for triatomines (e.g. poultry).

We also agree with “However, the real impact of such interventions has not been rigorously documented and quantified, and various concerns have been raised around the relationship between reaching the various operational thresholds that have been proposed and truly achieving interruption/elimination of transmission and reduction in morbidity. Also, the increased healthcare demands from the chronically-affected population, and the limited offer of diagnosis and trypanocidal and supportive treatment pose additional challenges to Chagas disease control”. Authors suggest the necessity to count with more studies for understanding the relationships among some critical co-variates, such as house construction materials, number of humans and zoonotic hotos” and “even if the domiciliated vector species are eliminated, their niche could be taken over by other sylvan vector species”.

We also want to remind that other transmission route from *T. cruzi* to human beings in América is oral transmission, which was not mentioned by authors. In recent years a significant increase in the oral transmission was observed associated with the consumption of drinks made from fruit or other vegetables contaminated with triatomines faeces or secretions from infected mammals or by the ingestion of undercooked meat from infested host animals. Mortality reaches up 33% of those infected people (Filigheddu *et al.*, 20173). Environment changes such as deforestation and global warming have affect ecotopes and the behaviour of *T. cruzi* vectors and reservoirs so that these have become displaced to new areas, thereby heading to such transmission scenario caused by contamination food, which requires evaluation (Rueda *et al.*, 20144).

Other mentioned problem is about the diagnostic tests do not have perfect sensitivity and
specificity.

“Another main target planned is achieving 75% access to trypanocidal treatment in *T. cruzi* infected people”, when “current estimates of access to diagnostics and treatment are at <1%”. And another problem is that there is limited evidence on the efficacy of drugs used currently.

The Letter is indexable and contributes considerably to show the infection situation by *T. cruzi*, in rural areas from Latin America, America, Europe and Western Pacific. The article proposes the important discussion of control measures, searching probable infected people and the corresponding treatment.

Mathematical models are an effective tool for organizing ideas and making predictions and future planifications. These models permit to observe the consequences of the chosen premises. Otherwise, the usefulness model is the accuracy of the parameters estimates. This goal may be reach with the interdisciplinary work involving biologists, ecologists, architects, physicians from different areas (obstetricians, paediatricians, cardiologists, neumonologists), epidemiologists and mathematicians.

The 2020 is very near to begin, the year when a current WHO goal is Interruption of intradomiciliary (vectorial) transmission in the Americas. We believe good mathematical models are necessary and as the authors expressed, “the concourse of both governmental and non-governmental organizations”. It is very necessary to get tools for the evaluation of goals compliance from every country/region/province according to their sociopolitical and economical situations because of there are several federal countries, which are depending on every province decisions. Socio politics and economic crisis in South America complicate the monitoring over the states of vectorial situation, carrying out sustained politics for enhancing humans life quality.

Besides, the lack of control in blood banks or organ transplants and pregnant women has caused the spread of the disease in American, European, Australian and Japan cities (Kirchhoff 2011, WHO 2011). Outside of rare specialized center, health care provides lack of experience, diagnosing and treating the disease, with subsequent disease progress and dissemination of the infection (Traina et al. 2016). And recent development finding in many countries, especially in United States of America, Asia and Australia, of Chagas disease vectors capable of transmitting the infection and thereby raising the risk of establishing vector transmission in these countries (WHO, 2015).
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